Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/664,004	BURKETT ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	EMILY WACHTEL	3/6/	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 21 October 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	n.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extruder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the siset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wind AMENDMENTS 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	Called
(a) They raise new issues that would require further con	sideration and/or search (see NO		cause
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett	**	ducing or simplifying tl	ne issues for
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		mpliant Amendment (l	PTOL-324).
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s). Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed an one-allowable claim(s). 	•	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-3,6,7,10-17 and 31. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 4,5,8,9 and 18-30.		l be entered and an e:	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Kevin C. Sirmons/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3767	/Emily Wachtel/ Examiner, Art Unit 3767		
	·		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Applicant has amended the claims to recite an elongated wire core. Regarding the Applicant's argument that Prather does not teach the elongated wire core to include surface contours, the Examiner respectfully disagrees. Initially, Fig. 14 does illustrate a raised surface directly on a guidewire and does not indicate a sleeve. Regardless, the wire core of Prather includes surface contours via the sleeve member. The language of the claim does not exclude a sleeve on the wire core from provding the surface contours. To do so there would need to be recitations in the claim which limit the wire core to a unitary member formed of one material. Further, regarding the Applicant's holding that the distal core section in McMahon is smooth, such is immaterial to the rejection at hand as such is not relied upon for the purposes of the rejection.